

MUSTARD SEED GRANT PROGRAM PROPOSAL EVALUATION AND SCORECARD A score from 1 to 5 for each evaluation category will be assigned, based on the level of fulfillment and excellence demonstrated by the grant application in each category. The total score for each component should not exceed 5.

LEAD APPLICANT NAME:		PROJECT TITLE:			PROJECT NUMBER:		FINAL SCORE (AVERAGE OF BOTH REVIEWER SCORES):
Category	Criteria	Exceptional (5 pts)	Strong (4 pts)	Adequate (3 pts)	Limited (2 pts)	Poor (1 pt)	Scores
Urantia Foundation Mission, Vision, Values, and Principal Object	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clarity and Understanding Alignment with Foundation's Principles Incorporation into the Project 	Exceptional clarity and understanding; complete alignment with Foundation's principles; comprehensive incorporation into the project	Strong clarity and understanding; significant alignment with Foundation's principles; substantial incorporation into the project	Adequate clarity and understanding; reasonable alignment with Foundation's principles; satisfactory incorporation into the project	Some clarity and understanding; partial alignments with Foundation's principles; partial incorporation into the project	Limited clarity and understanding; minimal alignment with Foundation's principles; inadequate incorporation into the project	Primary Reviewer Secondary Reviewer
Rationale and Significance of Proposed Project	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rationale and Justification Potential Impact Relevance to Foundation's Mission 	Compelling rationale and justification; exceptional potential impact; complete relevance to Foundation's mission	Strong rationale and justification; significant potential impact; substantial relevance to Foundation's mission	Adequate rationale and justification; reasonable potential impact; satisfactory relevance to Foundation's mission	Limited rationale and justification; moderate potential impact; partial relevance to Foundation's mission	Weak or insufficient rationale and justification; minimal potential impact; limited relevance to Foundation's mission	Primary Reviewer Secondary Reviewer
Budget and Budget Justification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reasonability and Realism of the Budget Alignment with Project Activities and Goals Adequacy of Budget Justification 	Exceptional reasonability and realism of the budget; complete alignment with project activities and goals; thorough budget justification	Strong reasonability and realism of the budget; good alignment with project activities and goals; comprehensive budget justification	Adequate reasonability and realism of the budget; adequate alignment with project activities and goals; satisfactory budget justification	Limited reasonability or realism of the budget; some misalignment with project activities and goals; partial budget justification	Unreasonable or unrealistic budget; significant gaps in alignment with project activities and goals; inadequate budget justification	Primary Reviewer Secondary Reviewer
Project Timeline, Evaluation, and Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Feasibility of Timeline Clarity and Measurability of Evaluation Methods Potential for Achieving Desired Outcomes 	Exceptional feasibility of project timeline with well-defined evaluation methods and highly achievable outcomes	Strong feasibility of project timeline with clear and measurable evaluation methods and promising outcomes	Adequate feasibility of project timeline with defined evaluation methods and potential outcomes	Limited feasibility of project timeline with somewhat defined evaluation methods and potential outcomes	Unfeasible project timeline with poorly defined evaluation methods and unlikely outcomes	Primary Reviewer Secondary Reviewer

Please complete these additional open-ended questions using the fillable boxes and be as detailed/specific as possible.

How well does the proposal show significance to the Urantia community?	
How well are the goals/objectives described? Are they reasonable for the scope of the project?	
How well does the proposal demonstrate that the project can be completed with the available resources and in the time specified (feasibility)?	
Does the applicant seem to have relevant experience/background to implement this project successfully?	

How well does the proposal describe a plan to evaluate the expected outcomes?	
How appropriate, accurate, and justified is the budget and use of resources?	
Is the project easy to understand? Do you need additional information? If so, what?	
What concerns, if any, do you have about funding this proposed project?	

Primary Reviewer:

Date of Primary Review:

Secondary Reviewer:

Date of Secondary Review: